



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
P.O. Box 1450  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450  
WWW.USPTO.GOV

Paper No. 10

Abrosimov Igor  
Office 501  
58, Moika Embankment  
St. Petersburg 19000-0 RU RUSSIAN FEDERATION

**COPY MAILED**

**OCT 21 2004**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of	:	
Igor Anatolievich Abrosimov	:	
Application No. 10/090,815	:	DECISION ON RENEWED PETITION
Filed: March 6, 2002	:	
Attorney Docket No.: 2302	:	
Title: TIMING DELAY GENERATOR AND	:	
METHOD USING TEMPERATURE	:	
STABILISATION	:	

This is a decision on the renewed petition filed September 14, 2004, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.137(b)<sup>1</sup>, to revive the above-identified application.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to reply in a timely manner to the Notice of Missing Parts (first notice<sup>2</sup>), mailed April 8, 2002, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of two (2) months.

On July 11, 2002, a one-month extension of time was received, along with the required fees. However, a new oath or declaration was not included. As such, a Notice of Incomplete Reply –

<sup>1</sup> A grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

- (1) The reply required to the outstanding Office action or notice, unless previously filed;
- (2) The petition fee as set forth in § 1.17(m);
- (3) A statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to this paragraph was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional, and;
- (4) Any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in § 1.20(d)) required pursuant to paragraph (d) of this section.

<sup>2</sup> The first notice indicated that the basic filing fee was insufficient (an additional \$15 was required), a late filing fee surcharge of \$65 was required, and the application appeared to have been filed without Figure 1.

Nonprovisional (second notice) was mailed on July 30, 2002. The second notice did not extend the period for response.

No response was received, and no further extensions of time under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. §1.136(a) were requested. Accordingly, the above-identified application became abandoned on July 9, 2002. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on January 21, 2004.

On February 24, 2004, the original petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.137(a) was received, which was dismissed via the mailing of a decision on March 12, 2004.

Six months later, Petitioner filed the instant petition.

With the instant petition, Petitioner has met the requirements to revive the above-identified application, pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). Petitioner submitted both the petition fee and the proper statement of unintentional delay. It is noted that the required reply was submitted with the original petition.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

After this decision is mailed, the application will be returned to the Office of Initial Patent Examination for further processing.

The general phone number for the Office of Petitions which should be used for status requests is (571) 272-3282. Telephone inquiries regarding *this decision* should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3225.



**Paul Shanoski**  
**Senior Attorney**  
**Office of Petitions**  
**United States Patent and Trademark Office**